

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,949	02/11/2004	Andreas Ewert	081276-1029-00	4259
23409 7590	03/18/2005		EXAM	INER
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			MULLINS, BURTON S	
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2834	

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,949	EWERT ET AL.	((Ww
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Burton S. Mullins	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	9SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become the status of the course the status of the s	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 in 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		erits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square e drawing(s) be held in abeyaction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a cority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Response to Amendment

2. The preliminary amendment filed reply filed on 11 February 2004 has been entered.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On p.1, line 5, reference to specific claims should be removed since the claim numbering may change during prosecution. It is also suggested that applicant change "aggregate" to ---component--. While applicant can be his own lexicographer, a term should not be repugnant to the generally accepted meaning. An "aggregate" refers to a sum of particular parts. In applicant's specification, it seems to mean an unspecified but nevertheless *particular* part.

In the claims, use of the phrase "is embodied as" should be changed to simply --comprises---. In claims 6 and 11, change "aggregate" and "aggregate that is to be driven is a fan
wheel (26) of to –component—and –the component comprises a fan wheel driven by--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,949 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2, "metal/soft component/metal" is vague and indefinite. In claim 6, the functional recitation "in a torque-proof manner" is vague and indefinite. Does this refer to the aggregate, the hub, the damper, or the connection between the hub or damper and the rotor? Further, it is not clear what "torque-proof" means. In claims 6 and 11, the phrase "aggregate" is not idiomatic and confusing. An "aggregate" refers to a sum of particular parts. In claim 8, "the damper... is fastened, preferably sprayed..." is vague and indefinite. "Preferably" implies a possibility or option, not a definite structural limitation. In claims 3 and 10, "and/or" is indefinite because it is not clear if this term is used exclusively or inclusively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buijsen (US 4,617,484). Buijsen teaches a external rotor motor with a stator (17/19) and a rotor (23), which surrounds the stator while leaving an air gap (Fig. 1), characterized in that at least one damper comprising a circular band (33) that is composed at least partially of an elastic material (c.2, line

Application/Control Number: 10/776,949 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

55) is arranged on the outside of the rotor, i.e., on the outer circumference of ring 31 forming part of the rotor (Fig.2, c.2, lines 47-49).

7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakashita et al. (US 5,796,200). Sakashita teaches a external rotor motor with a stator (5/6) and a rotor (7), which surrounds the stator while leaving an air gap (Fig.1), characterized in that at least one damper comprising two flexible bond magnets (16/17) that is composed at least partially of an elastic material (abstract) is arranged on the outside of the rotor 7. Regarding claim 2, the bond magnets comprise an elastomer, e.g., rubber, mixed with a magnetic powder which comprises metal such as iron (c.4, lines 3-11 and 25-31).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest that the damper comprises a damper cap surrounding a pot jacket or at least partially covering the pot base (claim 3); or that a component with a hub that is to be driven by the rotor is slid over the damper and connected to the rotor in a torque-proof manner [sic], i.e., the component is fit on the damper such that it does not move relative to the rotor.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,949 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is 571-272-2029.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am to 5 pm. If attempts to reach

the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be

reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Burton S. Mullins Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2834

bsm

28 February 2005